

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.mpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,235	02/15/2002	Magalie Roy-Auberger	PET-1987	7871
23599 7	08/05/2003			
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400			EXAMINER	
			PARSA, JAFAR F	
ARLINGTON, VA 22201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
			DATE MAILED: 08/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s) 10/075,235

Roy-Auberger et al

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Art Unit J. Parsa

1621



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply	·				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In mailing date of this communication. 	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 15, 2	002				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This act	ion is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa					
Disposition of Claims	·				
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>	is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	-				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.				
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	·				
13) 🗓 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☑ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:	•				
1. X Certified copies of the priority documents hav	·				
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents hav					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority description application from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) ☒ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisiona					
15)☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
Attachment(s)	priority strator of 0.0.0. 33 120 arrayor 121.				
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Petent Application (PTO-152)				
3) 💢 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) 🗌 Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/075235 Page 2

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 10 and 11 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 10, line 2 the word "micrometre" and claim 11, line 2, the word "nanometre) are misspelled. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 3. Claims 1, and 8-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-15 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,465,530. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or

Art Unit:

improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 2-7 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 6465530. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 2-7 of the present application generically teaches a process for preparing silica-alumina support prepared by decationisation of a water soluble alkaline silicate, then in which this solution is brought into contact with an aqueous solution containing at least one cationic aluminum salt, and in which the aqueous solution obtained is co-precipitated with ammonia under controlled operating conditions. US patent No. 6,465,530 discloses the species of the genus for preparing a silica-alumina support by co-precipitating an aqueous solution of sodium silicate, sodium

Application/Control Number: 10/075235

Page 4

Art Unit:

aluminate, aluminum sulphate and sulfuric acid or from a mixture of silicic acid and aluminum nitrate to which ammonia was added or from a mixture of silicic acid and hydrolyzed aluminum oxide, followed by atomization.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to J.

Parsa, whose telephone number is (703)308-4615. The Examiner's normal work hours are

Monday-Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. If Examiner is not in, please leave a message. Your

call will be return as soon as possible. Any general inquiry of a general relating to the status of this
application should be directed to the Group 1600 receptionist whose telephone

number is (703)308-1235. The Examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter, may be reached at

(703)308-4532. Communications may now be transmitted via FAX directly to group 1600. The

group 1600 FAX machine number is (703)872-9306.

J. PARSA
PRIMARY EXAMINER

J. Palen

8/1/03